IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:18-CT-3268-D

EDDIE LEVORD TAYLOR,)
Plaintiff,) }
v.	ORDER
KENNETH LASSITER, et al.,)
Defendants.)

On May 2, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") and recommended dismissing Eddie Levord Taylor's ("Taylor" or "plaintiff") 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint for failure to state a claim [D.E. 12]. On May 20, 2019, Taylor objected to the M&R [D.E. 13]. On December 17, 2019, Taylor moved for reconsideration [D.E. 16].

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

Taylor's objections reiterate arguments stated in his complaint, and his objections do not meaningfully address the M&R. Compare M&R [D.E. 12] 1–2, 4, with Objs. [D.E. 13] 2–3, 10–12. The court overrules the objections.

In sum, the court OVERRULES Taylor's objections [D.E. 13], ADOPTS the conclusions in

the M&R [D.E. 12], DENIES Taylor's motion for reconsideration [D.E. 16], DENIES Taylor's motion for a court order as most [D.E. 17], and DISMISSES Taylor's complaint. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This <u>18</u> day of January 2020.

JAMES C. DEVER III

United States District Judge